

Attorney's Docket No. 000515-238  
Application No. 10/071,082  
Page 4

## REMARKS

The Office Action of January 3, 2005, and the Advisory Action of April 14, 2005, have been carefully reviewed, and in view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

In the above Office Action, claims 1-3 and 10-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. More specifically, the Examiner rejected the claims under Section 112 as being indefinite due to the use of both the phrase "consists of" and the word "optionally". Accordingly, as set forth in the Amendment of April 4, 2005, the word "optionally" was removed from independent claim 1 in order to overcome the rejection under Section 112.

In the Advisory Action of April 14, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the Amendment of April 4, 2005 would not be entered or considered because the amendments to claim 1 changed the scope of the claim and raised new issues that would require further search and consideration. Applicants respectfully traversed this conclusion in the Response submitted on May 3, 2005.

In the Advisory Action mailed May 26, 2005, the Examiner now indicates that the rejection under Section 112 was also directed toward the use of the term "and/or" in claim 1. In the Amendment After Final submitted April 4, 2005, Applicants intentionally did not amend this language, in order to avoid any potential issues, since the same had not been identified by the Examiner as a cause of the Section 112 rejection. Nevertheless, in view of the Examiner's new clarification of this rejection, claim 1 has been amended as set forth above to remove the "and/or" language and recite the limitation using more customary claim language.

Attorney's Docket No. 000515-238  
Application No. 10/071,082  
Page 5

In view of the above amendment, Applicants renew their traversal of the Examiner's contention, as set forth in the Advisory Action of April 14, 2005, that the Amendment of April 4, 2005, i.e., removal of the word "optionally", would not be entered or considered because the amendments to claim 1 change the scope of the claim and raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

The removal of the word "optionally" in response to the Examiner's objection thereto as being inconsistent with the phrase "consists of" does nothing more than remove the inconsistency. Applicants contend that the scope of the claims was not changed since in fact all limitations in the claim were previously presented, and even more importantly, all limitations were previously considered and searched by the Examiner – as is evident from the Examiner's citation of prior art against the "optional" limitations, i.e. water and/or an acid.

In view of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that entry of the present Supplemental Amendment After Final and the Amendment After Final of April 4, 2005 are proper and reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims of the present application as amended, do not present new issues requiring further consideration or search, would obviate the rejection under Section 112 and thus place the application in better condition for appeal, and would in fact, place the application in condition for allowance if the prior art rejection is properly reconsidered.

Attorney's Docket No. 000515-238  
Application No. 10/071,082  
Page 6

Should any questions arise in connection with this application or should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any remaining issues pertaining to this application; the Examiner is kindly invited to call the undersigned counsel for Applicants regarding the same.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: June 3, 2005

By: Wendi Weinstein  
Wendi Leigh Weinstein  
Registration No. 34,456

P.O. Box 1404  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404  
(703) 836-6620

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted by facsimile transmission to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, to the following facsimile number:

Facsimile Number: 703-872-9308

Date of Transmission: June 3, 2005

Wendi Weinstein  
Wendi Weinstein  
Typed Name: